

**Title:** Cryotherapy re-invented: application of phase change material for recovery in elite soccer

**Submission style:** Original investigation

**Authors:** Tom Clifford<sup>1, 5</sup>, Will Abbott<sup>2, 3</sup>, Susan Y. Kwiecien<sup>4, 5</sup>, Glyn Howatson<sup>5, 6</sup>, Malachy P. McHugh<sup>4, 5</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, Newcastle University, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>School of Sport and Service Management, Brighton University, UK; <sup>3</sup>Brighton and Hove Albion F.C, American Express Elite Performance Centre, Lancing, UK; <sup>4</sup>Nicholas Institute of Sports Medicine and Athletic Trauma, Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, NY; <sup>5</sup>Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>Water Research Group, School of Environmental Sciences and Development, Northwest University, Potchefstroom, South Africa.

**Corresponding author:**

Tom Clifford, Newcastle University, Faculty of Medical Sciences, School of Biomedicine Newcastle-upon-Tyne, NE2 4HH, UK Tel: +44 0192 088 311

**Running head:** Cryotherapy and recovery in elite soccer

**Abstract word count:** 244

**Manuscript word count:** 3256

**Figure:** 4

**Tables:** 2

## **Abstract**

**Purpose:** This study examined whether donning lower body garments fitted with cooled phase change material (PCM) would enhance recovery after a soccer match.

**Methods:** In a randomized, crossover design, eleven elite-soccer players from the reserve squad of a team in the 2nd highest league in England wore PCM cooled to 15°C (PCM<sub>cold</sub>) or left at ambient temperature (PCM<sub>amb</sub>; sham control) for 3 h after a soccer match. To assess recovery, countermovement jump (CMJ) height, maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MIVC), muscle soreness (MS), and the adapted Brief Assessment of Mood Questionnaire (BAM+) were measured before, 12, 36 and 60 h after each match. Pre and post intervention, a belief questionnaire (BFQ) was completed to determine perceived effectiveness of each garment.

**Results:** Results are comparisons between the two conditions at each time point post-match. MIVC at 36 h post was greater with PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>warm</sub> ( $P=0.005$ ; ES=1.59; 95% CI=3.9 to 17.1%). MIVC also tended to be higher at 60 h post ( $P=0.051$ ; ES=0.85; 95% CI= -0.4 to 11.1%). MS was 26.5% lower in PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>warm</sub> at 36 h ( $P=0.02$ ; ES=1.7; 95% CI= -50.4 mm to -16.1 mm) and 24.3% lower at 60 h ( $P=0.039$ ; ES=1.1; 95% CI= -26.9 mm to -0.874 mm). There were no between condition differences in post-match CMJ height or BAM+ ( $P>0.05$ ). The BFQ revealed that players felt the PCM<sub>cold</sub> was more effective than the PCM<sub>amb</sub> after the intervention ( $P=0.004$ ).

**Conclusions:** PCM cooling garments provide a practical means of delivering prolonged post-exercise cooling and thereby accelerating recovery in elite soccer players.

**Key words:** Recovery, cryotherapy, soccer, muscle damage, exercise.

## 49    **Introduction**

50    It is well established that a soccer match can induce muscle damage that persists for several  
51    days <sup>1-3</sup>. Typically, this muscle damage manifests as increased feelings of muscle soreness and  
52    a reduced force generating capacity, both of which can increase the risk of injury <sup>4</sup>, and  
53    negatively affect the ability to perform the explosive movements integral to soccer  
54    performance, such as sprinting, jumping, accelerating and changing direction <sup>2 5 6</sup>.

55    The aetiology of muscle damage after a soccer match is multifactorial and complex, but,  
56    broadly speaking, it is likely to be initiated by direct mechanical stress to the contractile and  
57    non-contractile muscle apparatus, and then followed by a cascade of immunological mediated  
58    processes that orchestrate repair and recovery <sup>5-7</sup>. Indeed, there is now a growing body of  
59    evidence that this inflammatory response is crucial to muscle regeneration after muscle-  
60    damaging exercise<sup>8</sup>. With that said, because of the secondary damage that inflammation can  
61    provoke in the initial aftermath of the damaging insult, it is also postulated that an intervention  
62    that might temporarily reduce inflammation might help to expedite the recovery process<sup>9</sup>. This  
63    could be particularly beneficial when adaptation to an exercise stimulus is of secondary  
64    importance during periods of competition or fixture congestion <sup>10</sup>.

65    One of the most popular recovery interventions used in soccer is cold water immersion (CWI)  
66    <sup>1</sup>. Following a soccer match or training, players often use CWI applied to the lower body in the  
67    belief that recovery will be facilitated <sup>11</sup>. Such effects are purported to reduce tissue temperature  
68    and increase hydrostatic pressure, leading to a reduction in inflammation and oxidative stress  
69    <sup>11-14</sup>. Nonetheless, it remains equivocal as to whether cooling the muscles does actually reduce  
70    inflammation <sup>15</sup>. Additionally, the effectiveness of CWI as a recovery aid has been questioned,  
71    with a large meta-analysis suggesting small to moderate benefits <sup>13</sup>. There are, however, some

72 studies reporting that CWI can assist recovery in the days following intermittent exercise or  
73 competitive soccer matches that was summarised in a narrative review <sup>1</sup>.

74 In addition to the limited benefits of CWI in exercise recovery <sup>13</sup>, its use also comes with  
75 logistical challenges such as facilities to cater for its use immediately following a soccer match.  
76 Also, some players might be put off by the thermal discomfort associated with CWI <sup>10</sup>. With  
77 these limitations in mind, alternative approaches for muscle cooling are necessary. One such  
78 approach is the use of temperature controlled phase change material (PCM). To date, PCM has  
79 principally been used in clothing to reduce thermal stress in occupational settings <sup>16</sup>. An  
80 attractive feature of PCM is that while absorbing heat from the body; for example, when the  
81 PCM is set at 15° C, it maintains this constant temperature until the material has changed from  
82 a solid to a liquid, which takes approximately 3 hours <sup>17</sup>. It has been shown that during a 3 h  
83 application the PCM can maintain skin temperature at 22° C but temperature changes beyond  
84 3 h are unclear <sup>17</sup>. In the same study, the potential benefits of local PCM application for exercise  
85 recovery were explored, and indicate that wearing PCM (15°C) can aid recovery following  
86 muscle-damaging exercise in untrained individuals <sup>17</sup>.

87 The clear advantage of PCM over CWI and other cytotherapeutic methods, at least from a  
88 practical perspective, is that they are extremely portable, easy to apply to entire squads—and  
89 can be worn for an extended period of time with minimal thermal discomfort or obstruction,  
90 thereby allowing athletes to freely move around during application. Such advantages could be  
91 particularly useful in elite team sports like soccer, where access to CWI might not be available  
92 when traveling for away matches or at tournaments. In this study, we hypothesised that PCM  
93 would attenuate muscle soreness and restore muscle function in the 3 days following a soccer  
94 match. Accordingly, the aim was to examine if PCM garments (with a 15° C freeze-thaw

temperature), worn for 3 h after a soccer match, could accelerate functional and perceived recovery in elite soccer players after a competitive league match.

## **Methods**

### *Participants*

This study received ethical approval from Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University. Eleven elite male, outfield soccer players (Age,  $19 \pm 1$  yrs; height,  $1.80 \pm 0.57$  m; mass,  $75.9 \pm 7.2$  kg; bodyfat,  $7.9 \pm 1.3$  %) were recruited from the under-23 squad of a professional soccer team playing in the Sky Bet Championship in England. Players were given a detailed outline of the study procedures before providing written informed consent and completing a health history questionnaire. The use of any other cytotherapeutic interventions (i.e., CWI) or form of compression was prohibited throughout testing.

### *Experimental design*

This study employed a crossover design. After two league matches between the period of Jan – March 2017, players wore, in a randomized fashion, PCM (Glacier Tek, USDA BioPreferred PureTemp, Plymouth, MN, USA) that were either cooled in a freezer to  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$  (intervention; PCM<sub>cold</sub>) or left at ambient ( $\sim 22^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) temperature (PCM<sub>amb</sub>), which served as our sham control. The PCM material is derived from vegetable oils, and each block is 32 cm in length and 13 cm in width. Two PCM blocks were worn on the quadriceps muscles inside compression shorts (worn up to the knee) for 3 h in total from approximately 45 mins post-match. The 3 h application time was chosen because we knew from our previous work<sup>17</sup>, that a reduced skin temp of  $\sim 22^{\circ}\text{C}$  could be maintained for this period, where as we could not guarantee, that without changing them (which would have been logistically more challenging) that they would have able to maintain our desired skin temperature. In terms of the pressure exerted by the

intervention, in a pilot study with 14 subjects wearing the shorts fitted with the PCM packs (unpublished data), the average compression pressure as measured by the Kikuhime pressure monitor (Kikuhime, TT, 160 Medi Trade, Søleddet, Denmark) was 5.0 mmHg, which is negligible compared to the pressure needed to influence recovery through compression garments<sup>18</sup>. One block was worn on the medial part of the thigh and the other on the lateral part of the thigh. They were worn while travelling back from the matches on the team bus, which had an air temperature between 18-22° C. During their application, the players activity was not restricted; however, they largely remained sat upright on the bus, only moving to use the bathroom. For blinding purposes, prior to the intervention players were informed that both the PCM<sub>cold</sub> and PCM<sub>amb</sub> were equally effective for recovery and that we were only interested in which they preferred in terms of comfort. The order of randomization for the garments was performed using an online generator ([www.randomizer.org](http://www.randomizer.org)) by an individual not involved in data collection. A range of dependent variables were collected before the matches (PRE: ~84 h after their last match and ~84 h prior to their next match) and 12, 36 and 60 h after the match to monitor recovery. These variables were all recorded prior to training (between 09:00 – 10:30) and in the following order: an adapted Brief Assessment of Mood (BAM+), muscle soreness (MS), counter movement jump (CMJ) height, and maximal isometric voluntary contraction (MIVC). Participants were familiarized with the above procedures prior to the main data collection. Players wore GPS units (Catapult, Leeds, UK) to track their external load during each match.

#### *Maximal isometric voluntary contraction*

As in previous studies<sup>19 20</sup>, MIVC of the right knee extensors was measured with a portable strain gauge (MIE Medical Research Ltd., Leeds, UK) at an approximately 70° angle of knee flexion. Players were seated upright on a physio bench and had a plinth (attached to the strain

gauge), placed just above the malleoli of the right ankle. Players were asked to push against the plinth maximally and hold the contraction for 3 s. Three maximal efforts were performed, each separated by 60 s of passive, seated recovery, with the mean value (N) used for analysis. The inter-day coefficient of variation (CV) for this protocol was calculated as <8%.

#### *Countermovement jump*

CMJ height was measured in cm with an Optojump system (Bolzano, Italy). Participants started the movement upright with hands fixed to their hips and after a verbal cue, descended into a squat prior to performing a maximal effort vertical jump. Participants performed 3 maximal efforts, separated by approximately 60 s of standing recovery; the mean of the 3 jumps was used for analysis. The CV for this protocol was <5%.

#### *Muscle soreness*

Muscle soreness (MS) was rated by marking a vertical line on a 200 mm visual analogue (VAS). At one end read “no soreness” and the other “unbearably painful”; the marked line was measured with a ruler and recorded.

#### *Questionnaires*

As in a previous study<sup>21</sup>, before and after the intervention participants rated how effective they felt the interventions were *going to be* for recovery (PRE) and how effective they felt *they were* for recovery (60 h). They completed a likert scale from 1 ‘not effective at all’ to 5 ‘extremely effective’ for each condition. The aim of this was to gauge the player’s perception of how effective they felt the interventions were before and after using them. On each day (PRE – 60 h), players also completed a recently developed questionnaire for qualitatively assessing athlete’s mood, recovery status and overall performance readiness<sup>20</sup>. The questionnaire, known as the BAM+, contains 6 items from The Brief Assessment of Mood (BAM) and 4 questions

relating to confidence, motivation, muscle soreness and sleep quality<sup>20</sup>. For each of the 10 questions, players drew a vertical line on a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS), which has “not at all” and “extremely” at opposing ends. The lines were measured with a ruler and recorded and an overall score calculated with the following equation: positively associated questions (x4) – the negatively associated questions (x6 from the BAM). Further details of the BAM+ and its development are available in<sup>22</sup>.

#### *Data analysis*

All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and statistical significance was set at  $P < 0.05$  prior to analyses. MIVC, CMJ, MS and BAM+ values were analysed using a repeated measures ANOVA with 2 treatment levels (PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>amb</sub>) and 4 repeated measures time points (PRE, 12 h, 36 h, 60 h). MS did not follow a normal distribution (according to  $P < 0.05$  on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and inspection of histograms) so was log transformed prior to analysis. If the ANOVA indicated a significant interaction effect (treatment\*time) Bonferroni *post hoc* analysis was performed to locate where the differences lie. The *post hoc* comparisons refer to a difference in conditions at a specific time point post-match (e.g., MIVC at 36 h post with PCM<sub>warm</sub> vs. PCM<sub>cold</sub>). In the event of a significant violation of sphericity, Greenhouse-Geisser adjustments were used. External load data was analysed with paired student t-tests. The BFQ was analysed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. All data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for Windows (Surrey, UK). To estimate the magnitude of the treatment effects, Cohen’s d effect sizes (ES) were calculated with the magnitude of effects considered either small (0.20–0.49), medium (0.50–0.79) and large ( $>0.80$ ).

## **Results**



188 *External load*

189 As shown in Table 1, there were no differences in any of the external load variables, including  
190 time on the field, between the two conditions ( $P < 0.01$ ). The requirement for being included  
191 in the intervention was that 60 minutes of the match had to be completed; no players had to be  
192 excluded on this criterion. In terms of treatment order, 8 players used PCM<sub>cold</sub> first and 3 players  
193 PCM<sub>warm</sub>.

194 *Muscle function*

195 As shown in Figure 1, MIVC was reduced after both treatments (time effect;  $P = 0.0001$ ) but  
196 recovery was faster with PCM<sub>cold</sub> (treatment\*time effect;  $P = 0.001$ ) at 36 h ( $P = 0.005$ ; large  
197 ES = 1.59; 95% CI = 3.9 to 17.1%). MIVC also tended to be higher at 60 h after PCM<sub>cold</sub>  
198 treatment ( $P = 0.051$ ; large ES = 0.85; 95% CI = -0.4 to 11.1%). Although to a smaller extent,  
199 CMJ performance also decreased after both treatments (time effect;  $P = 0.032$ ), with losses  
200 peaking at 36 h (Figure 2). PCM<sub>cold</sub> tended to increase CMJ performance after the match vs.  
201 PCM<sub>warm</sub> but this did not reach statistical significance (treatment effect;  $P = 0.064$ ;  
202 treatment\*time effect;  $P = 0.095$ ).

203 *Muscle soreness*

204 A time effect for increased MS was observed ( $P = 0.0001$ ; Figure 3); however, MS was lower  
205 after PCM<sub>cold</sub> (treatment effect;  $P = 0.02$ ; treatment\*time effect;  $P = 0.010$ ; Figure 3). At 36 h  
206 post, MS was, on average, 26.5% lower after PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>amb</sub> ( $P = 0.02$ ; large ES = 1.70;  
207 95% CI = -50.4 mm to -16.1 mm) and, at 60 h, 24.3% lower in the PCM<sub>cold</sub> ( $P = 0.039$ ; large  
208 ES = 1.10; 95% CI = -26.9 mm to -0.874 mm).

Readiness to play, as measured by the BAM+ questionnaire, was reduced after wearing both garments post-match (time effect;  $P = 0.0001$ ); however, no treatment ( $P = 0.438$ ) or treatment\*time effects were observed ( $P = 0.164$ ; Figure 4).

Before the intervention, there was no difference in the player's perception of how effective they felt each treatment would be ( $P = 0.480$ ), suggesting that the PCM<sub>amb</sub> served as a good control, and limited the possibility of a placebo effect at the outset. In contrast, at post-intervention, it was felt that PCM<sub>cold</sub> was more effective than PCM<sub>amb</sub> (Table 2;  $P = 0.004$ ).

## **Discussion**

The main finding of this study was that donning PCM garments for 3 h after a competitive soccer match enhanced functional recovery; more specifically, both isometric strength loss and MS were significantly attenuated 2-3 days after the match. In line with these findings, the players felt the cooled garments were more effective than the ambient garments after the intervention (Table 2). This study provides the first evidence that the application of these novel cooling garments aid functional recovery in elite soccer players.

The enhanced recovery of MIVC and reduction in MS with PCM<sub>cold</sub> is consistent with recent findings<sup>17</sup>, which showed that applying PCM<sub>cold</sub> for 6 h following 120 isolated eccentric knee extensions attenuated MIVC loss and MS for up to 4 days' post-exercise. The present study, however, expands upon these findings, indicating that; 1) the beneficial effects of these garments are not just limited to recreationally active individuals but also extend to elite-level soccer players, and; 2) a ~3 h application is sufficient for accelerating functional recovery—at least in this population and under these very applied conditions. Of course, it is unclear if a 6 h application would have further augmented the effects in the present study; however, the optimal application time for these garments does require further investigation.

232 Interestingly, the beneficial effects of PCM<sub>cold</sub> on MIVC and MS only became evident at 36  
233 and 60 h post-match. As to why the PCM<sub>cold</sub> was not beneficial at 12 h post-match is unclear  
234 and difficult to explain. However, given the loss in MIVC and MS peaked at this time point,  
235 one plausible explanation is that the magnitude of damage was simply too large for the PCM<sub>cold</sub>  
236 to have any discernible effects. Alternatively, the discrepancy could be related to how soon this  
237 measure was collected after the end of the match. Indeed, it is possible that the changes in  
238 MIVC and MS at this time point were more a reflection of lingering physiological and mental  
239 fatigue rather than muscle-damage *per se*, which is generally more evident  $\geq 24$  h post-exercise  
240 <sup>7 23</sup>. Additionally, in terms of MIVC, at this time point a greater proportion of the strength loss  
241 was probably more attributable to mechanisms which are not postulated to be amenable to  
242 cryotherapy (e.g., a loss of Ca<sup>2+</sup> homeostasis and failure of the excitation-contraction coupling  
243 system;<sup>24</sup>). Instead, muscle cooling is thought to affect the immunological responses associated  
244 with secondary damage; most notably local inflammation and oxidative stress, which develop  
245 more gradually following the initial muscle-damaging stimulus, generally peaking 24 – 96 h  
246 post-exercise <sup>25 26</sup>. Thus, given the time course of events, it would be reasonable to assume that  
247 the benefits of PCM<sub>cold</sub> would become more apparent at later stages in the recovery process  
248 when functional recovery (e.g., MIVC loss and MS) are more likely to be hindered by  
249 secondary processes. Following this logic, a possible mechanism by which the PCM<sub>cold</sub>  
250 application could have accelerated recovery, was by reducing the number of inflammatory  
251 cells, especially phagocytes, that adhere to the vascular endothelium and infiltrate the damaged  
252 tissues for remodelling. Although such effects remain equivocal with acute CWI (10 min) <sup>15</sup>, a  
253 more prolonged cooling intervention (6 h) was shown to reduce phagocyte adherence and  
254 desmin loss 24 h after muscle damage in mice<sup>14</sup>, lending some support to this theory. Such  
255 effects are, in turn, likely to blunt the neutrophil mediated release of reactive oxygen species,  
256 which, in a non-discriminate manner, can degrade both damaged and healthy cells, inhibiting

recovery<sup>12 14 27</sup>. There is indeed evidence in humans that have shown a link between exercise-induced inflammation and isometric strength loss<sup>25</sup>, and some in animals showing that attenuating inflammation enhances the recovery of muscle function after muscle lengthening contractions<sup>27</sup>, which would support this proposition. Nonetheless, it is important to note that not all studies have found a link between inflammation and muscle function after muscle-damaging exercise<sup>28</sup>. Thus, while such effects are plausible, without measuring inflammation this is somewhat speculative; this postulation needs to be tested experimentally to confirm this idea.

Another interesting finding from this study is that despite the benefits of PCM<sub>cold</sub> on MIVC recovery, CMJ performance was not significantly altered. This could be largely due to the fact that the magnitude of CMJ loss after the match was only small; thus, there was not a large enough impairment to detect a significant treatment effect. With that said, CMJ height did tend to be greater at 36 and 60 h post-match in the present study, with 9 of the 11 players scoring higher relative to their baseline values after PCM<sub>cold</sub> at 36 h, revealing a large effect size (1.2). Therefore, these findings might be interpreted as practically meaningful by a practitioner or coach working in elite soccer.

In contrast to the functional measures, the BAM+ was not different between the two treatments. This could be interpreted to suggest that the PCM<sub>cold</sub> was more effective for aiding physiological/biomechanical recovery rather than the psychological/wellbeing aspects of recovery. Indeed, these two could represent distinct aspects of recovery, given the recent suggestion they do not tend to correlate well using a number of measures<sup>29</sup>. Notwithstanding, the BAM+ is a new tool and is yet to be validated as a recovery marker so perhaps this measure is not sensitive enough for detecting significant changes between treatments.

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of this work. Firstly, we were unable to measure local tissue temperature between the two conditions to confirm that the PCM<sub>cold</sub> was having the desired effect. However, because in previous work the same PCM<sub>cold</sub> reduced skin temperature to 22° C <sup>17</sup>, —not dissimilar to that reported after CWI was applied post-a heavy bout of plyometric exercise (24.5° C) <sup>30</sup>, we are confident that the skin temperature was similarly decreased in the present study. Another limitation, which is inherent in all cryotherapy based research, is our inability to rule out that these results were largely a result of a placebo effect due to the players pre-conceived belief about how cold exposure might benefit their recovery. However, it is important to note that at the outset of the study, the players did not believe that the PCM<sub>cold</sub> would be more beneficial than the PCM<sub>warm</sub> (Table 2). Finally, again due to the practical constraints of working with elite athletes, the potential underlying mechanisms could not be determined. These are important questions that need to be examined in future work.

### **Practical applications**

The phase change garments used in this study are also easily portable and can be applied to large groups of athletes for an extended period of time while allowing the athletes to move freely during use; consequently, they offer a highly practical means of applying cryotherapy to enhance recovery following competitive team-sport matches. While it remains to be seen if the phase change material garments used in this study are more efficacious than other forms of cryotherapy, from a practical perspective, at the very least these garments offer an attractive alternative method of enhancing recovery when access to CWI is not available, perhaps in away competition or tournament scenarios.

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, the present findings showed, for the first time, that applying cooled PCM to the quadriceps for 3 h after a soccer match lowers MS and improves the recovery of MIVC. Studies examining the effects of these garments in other sporting populations (e.g., rugby) along with the potential mechanisms involved, are warranted.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors wish to thank all the players who took part in this study. The idea to apply PCM to accelerate recovery following exercise and injury and reduce pain was conceptualized and implemented by the author Dr Malachy McHugh.

328

329

330 **Reference list**

331

332 1. Nedelec M, McCall A, Carling C, et al. Recovery in soccer: part ii-recovery strategies. Sports  
333 Med 2013;43(1):9-22.

334 2. Andersson H, Raastad T, Nilsson J, et al. Neuromuscular fatigue and recovery in elite female  
335 soccer: Effects of active recovery. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008;40(2):372-80.

336 3. Ascensao A, Leite M, Rebelo AN, et al. Effects of cold water immersion on the recovery of  
337 physical performance and muscle damage following a one-off soccer match. J Sports Sci  
338 2011;29(3):217-25.

339 4. Dupont G, Nedelec M, McCall A, et al. Effect of 2 soccer matches in a week on physical  
340 performance and injury rate. Am J Sports Med 2010;38(9):1752-8.

341 5. Nedelec M, McCall A, Carling C, et al. Recovery in soccer: part I - post-match fatigue and  
342 time course of recovery. Sports Med 2012;42(12):997-1015.

343 6. Mohr M, Draganidis D, Chatzinikolaou A, et al. Muscle damage, inflammatory, immune  
344 and performance responses to three football games in 1 week in competitive male players. Eur  
345 J Appl Physiol 2016;116(1):179-93.

346 7. Hyldahl RD, Hubal MJ. Lengthening our perspective: morphological, cellular, and  
347 molecular responses to eccentric exercise. Muscle Nerve 2014;49(2):155-70.

348 8. Chazaud B. Inflammation during skeletal muscle regeneration and tissue remodeling:  
349 application to exercise-induced muscle damage management. Immunol Cell Biol  
350 2016;94(2):140-5.

351 9. Urso ML. Anti-inflammatory interventions and skeletal muscle injury: benefit or detriment?  
352 J Appl Physiol 2013;115(6):920-8.

353 10. Howatson G, Leeder, K., Someren van, K. The BASES Expert Statement on Athletic  
354 Recovery Strategies. BASES Expert Statement 2016(48)

355 11. Bongers CC, Hopman MT, Eijsvogels TM. Cooling interventions for athletes: An overview  
356 of effectiveness, physiological mechanisms, and practical considerations. Temperature  
357 2017;4(1):60-78.

358 12. Toumi H, Best TM. The inflammatory response: friend or enemy for muscle injury? Br J  
359 Sports Med 2003;37(4):284-6.

360 13. Leeder J, Gissane C, van Someren K, et al. Cold water immersion and recovery from  
361 strenuous exercise: a meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2012;46(4):233-40.

362 14. Schaser KD, Disch AC, Stover JF, et al. Prolonged superficial local cryotherapy attenuates  
363 microcirculatory impairment, regional inflammation, and muscle necrosis after closed soft  
364 tissue injury in rats. Am J Sports Med 2007;35(1):93-102.

365 15. Peake JM, Roberts LA, Figueiredo VC, et al. The effects of cold water immersion and  
366 active recovery on inflammation and cell stress responses in human skeletal muscle after  
367 resistance exercise. *J Physiol* 2017;595(3):695-711.

368 16. Reinertsen RE, Faerevik H, Holbo K, et al. Optimizing the performance of phase-change  
369 materials in personal protective clothing systems. *Int J Occup Saf Ergon* 2008;14(1):43-53.

370 17. Kwiecien SY, McHugh MP, Howatson G. The efficacy of cooling with phase change  
371 material for the treatment of exercise-induced muscle damage: pilot study. *J Sports Sci* 2017:1-  
372 7.

373 18. Hill J, Howatson G, van Someren K, et al. The effects of compression garment pressure on  
374 recovery from strenuous exercise. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2017:1-22.

375 19. Howatson G, McHugh MP, Hill JA, et al. Influence of tart cherry juice on indices of  
376 recovery following marathon running. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2010;20(6):843-52.

377 20. Clifford T, Bell O, West DJ, et al. The effects of beetroot juice supplementation on indices  
378 of muscle damage following eccentric exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2016;116(2):353-62.

379 21. Broatch JR, Petersen A, Bishop DJ. Postexercise cold water immersion benefits are not  
380 greater than the placebo effect. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2014;46(11):2139-47.

381 22. Shearer DA, Sparkes W, Northeast J, et al. Measuring recovery: An adapted Brief  
382 Assessment of Mood (BAM+) compared to biochemical and power output alterations. *J Sci*  
383 *Med Sport* 2017;20(5):512-17.

384 23. Thomas K, Dent J, Howatson G, et al. Etiology and Recovery of Neuromuscular Fatigue  
385 after Simulated Soccer Match Play. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2017;49(5):955-64.

386 24. Warren GL, Ingalls CP, Lowe DA, et al. What mechanisms contribute to the strength loss  
387 that occurs during and in the recovery from skeletal muscle injury? *J Orthop Sport Phys*  
388 2002;32(2):58-64.

389 25. Paulsen G, Crameri R, Benestad HB, et al. Time course of leukocyte accumulation in  
390 human muscle after eccentric exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010;42(1):75-85.

391 26. Nikolaidis MG, Jamurtas AZ, Paschalis V, et al. The effect of muscle-damaging exercise  
392 on blood and skeletal muscle oxidative stress: magnitude and time-course considerations.  
393 *Sports Med* 2008;38(7):579-606.


394 27. Pizza FX, Peterson JM, Baas JH, et al. Neutrophils contribute to muscle injury and impair  
395 its resolution after lengthening contractions in mice. *J Physiol* 2005;562(Pt 3):899-913.

396 28. Warren GL, Call JA, Farthing AK, et al. Minimal Evidence for a Secondary Loss of  
397 Strength After an Acute Muscle Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med*  
398 2017;47(1):41-59.


399 29. Saw AE, Main LC, Gastin PB. Monitoring the athlete training response: subjective self-  
400 reported measures trump commonly used objective measures: a systematic review. *Br J Sports*  
401 *Med* 2016;50(5):281-91.




30. Silva, YA, Santos BH, Andrade PR., et al. Skin temperature changes after exercise and cold water immersion. Sport Sci Health 2017; 13(1):195-202.




**Figure 1.** Percentage changes in maximal isometric voluntary contractions (MIVC) before and up to 60 h after the match. MIVC was reduced after both matches (time effect;  $P = 0.0001$ ). \*MIVC recovered quicker after PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>amb</sub>; treatment\*time effect,  $P = 0.001$ ). Values are mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 11$ ).



**Figure 2.** Percentage changes in countermovement jump (CMJ) height before up to 60 h after the match. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 11$ ). CMJ was changed after both matches (time effect;  $P = 0.032$ ) but tended to recover quicker with PCM<sub>cold</sub> (treatment\*time effect  $P = 0.095$ ). Values are mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 11$ ).



**Figure 3.** Muscle soreness before up to 60 h after the match. Muscle soreness increased after both matches (time effect;  $P = 0.0001$ ). \*Muscle soreness lower after PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>amb</sub>; treatment\*time effect  $P = 0.010$ . Values are mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 11$ ).



**Figure 4.** Changes in the adapted brief assessment of mood score (BAM+) score for each condition before and up to 60 h after the match. BAM+ scores fell after both matches (time effect;  $P = 0.0001$ ) but no treatment\*time effects were evident;  $P = 0.164$ . Values are mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 11$ ).

Table 1. A comparison of external load during match-play for the two conditions (PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs. PCM<sub>amb</sub>). Total distance is the total distance covered during the match; explosive distance refers to the distance travelled accelerating at  $\geq 2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$  and decelerating at  $\leq 2 \text{ m}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$ ; sprint distance is the distance travelled at  $\geq 60\%$  of maximum speed ( $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ); and duration is the total number of minutes spent on the field of play.

	PCM <sub>cold</sub>	PCM <sub>amb</sub>
<b>Total distance (m)</b>	9414 $\pm$ 2142	9742 $\pm$ 1365
<b>Explosive distance (m)</b>	628 $\pm$ 149	637 $\pm$ 78
<b>Sprint distance (m)</b>	330 $\pm$ 129	339 $\pm$ 85
<b>Duration (min)</b>	81 $\pm$ 18	83 $\pm$ 11

There were no differences between conditions for any variable ( $P > 0.05$ ).  
Values are mean  $\pm$  SD;  $n = 11$ .

Table 2. Perceived effectiveness of the PCM garments for recovery before and after the intervention.

	PCM <sub>cold</sub>	PCM <sub>amb</sub>
<b>Pre</b>	3.55 $\pm$ 0.69	3.36 $\pm$ 0.50
<b>Post (M+3)</b>	4.18 $\pm$ 0.60*	2.55 $\pm$ 1.04

\* PCM<sub>cold</sub> perceived to be more effective than PCM<sub>amb</sub> post intervention ( $P = 0.004$ ).